# Water Supply Effects Modeling: San Joaquin Tributary Streamflow Requirements

Will Anderson State Water Resources Control Board Division of Water Rights April 12, 2016



#### Overview

- Regulatory background and setting
- Unimpaired flow concept
- System characterization (San Joaquin tribs)
- Irrigation District water balance
- CALSIM, Water Supply Effects Model Results
- HEC-5Q Temperature Model and Results



#### Delta Reform Act, 2009

• Water Code §85086 (c) (1) "For the purpose of informing planning decisions for the Delta Plan and the Bay Delta Conservation Plan, the board shall, pursuant to its public trust obligations, develop new flow criteria for the Delta ecosystem necessary to protect public trust resources. . . The flow criteria for the Delta ecosystem shall include the volume, quality, and timing of water necessary for the Delta ecosystem under different conditions."



## **Bay-Delta Planning Process**

- <u>Phase 1</u>: San Joaquin River flow and southern Delta salinity
- <u>Phase 2</u>: Delta outflow and Sacramento River flows
- <u>Phase 3</u>: Water Rights implementation (of phases 1 and 2)
- <u>Phase 4:</u> Instream flows for other Delta tributaries
- <u>Related Processes:</u>
  - Water Quality Certifications: FERC relicensing of Hydroelectric Projects on Merced and Tuolumne Rivers



## **San Joaquin Flow Proposal**

- Intended to reasonably protect fish
  - Specific numeric objectives
  - Narrative standard... "to provide the flow conditions necessary to support the native fisheries in the Lower San Joaquin River and its salmon-bearing tributaries"
- Minimum percentage of unimpaired flow is during the critical juvenile salmon out-migration period of February-June
- Provides flexibility to modify both timing and %



## Why Percent of Unimpaired Flow?

- An index quantity, and fraction or "share"
- Allocate between public trust and other uses
  - An environmental-use "bank account" that can be "shaped" to provide functionally-useful flows
- Current Vernalis objective is also based on unimpaired flow (for water year classification) but is "stepwise" and more complicated



Unimpaired Flow Concept San Joaquin River Near Vernalis Flow Water Year 2003 (Below Normal)















#### **Stanislaus Historical Streamflows**



Water Boards

#### **Tuolumne Historical Streamflows**





#### **Merced Historical Streamflows**









#### **Irrigation District Characterization**





#### 2015 AGRICULTURAL WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN



#### Prepared by



December 2015



#### **AWMP Irrigated Acreage by District**



#### **Irrigation District water budgets**



December 2012

Water Boards

#### **Irrigation District Water Balance**





# How Do We Model System?



#### **CALSIM SAN JOAQUIN RIVER SCHEMATIC**





# CALSIM II "San Joaquin River Basin"

- DWR and USBR Versions
- An operations mass-balance model
- 82 years of monthly record:
  - Water years 1922-2003
- Inflow boundaries to each Reservoir
- Diversion demands, Allocations, Return Flows
- Local hydrology inflows +/-



#### "SWRCB-CALSIM Baseline"

- SWRCB application of CALSIM Baseline
- Includes Vernalis Adaptive Management Program (VAMP) criteria
- D-1641 Requirements at Vernalis (flow/salinity)
- FERC/RPA requirements at diversion dams
- Includes ~LOD 2005 demand estimates for IDs



# WSE = Water Supply Effects Model

- Excel spreadsheet by Lucas Sharkey to evaluate %UF flow alternatives
- Borrows CALSIM mass-balance framework
- Allocates water based on demand and availability
  - Growing season: March-September
  - <u>Availability:</u>
    - Inflows, Reservoir Storage
  - Constraints:
    - Carryover storage, minimum allocation, drought refill



#### WSE Model – CALSIM Framework

Image: Second secon													1.41	-			• X					
F	File	lome	Insert	Page Layo	out Fo	rmulas (	Data Re	view	View	Developer	Ad <u>d-</u>	[ns Oŋ	Base							2 م	- 6	23
	L X	H	N	P				R =	W		X	][							Σ Autos	Sum - A		
ļ	D.	Arial		* 10 *	AA	_ = =	<b>■</b> **/**		ap Text	Ge	neral	*			1	🖋   🖽		للتى	🔳 Fill 🔻	$\mathbb{Z}^{1}$		
Paste 🦪 🖪 🖉			U *   🖽 *   🌺 * 🛕 *   📰 🚍 🗄				< >	•a• Me	rge & Cent	ter - \$	S ~ % , .00 .00 Conditional Format				rmat Co	Il Insert Delete Format			Sort & Find &			
Clipboard 5			Font 5				Aliann	nent		G	Number 🕞 Styles				<b>cs</b>	Cells			Editing			
-	PT	,	- (-	f.	21				<u></u>									~				
B/						F	ш	HIII			K I M			N O			P 0 P				_	
1	~	D	U	U	E.	F	Tributary	lodes		J	N	L	M	N	0	F	<u>u</u>	ĸ	3		0	
2							(cfs unless otherwise noted)															
3							New		]													-
	Calendar	Davs per	Calendar	Water	Year	Unimpaired	Melones			Reservor	Tulloch			Reservor							Flow	
4	Date	month	Month	Year	Туре	Flow	Reservoir			Node 10	Reservoir			Node 76							Node 520	
5						TAF	+TAF		TAF		+TAF		TAF		+TAF	_	_		_			
6	CD	DPM	СМ	WY	WYT	SUF	110	E10	S10	C10	176	E76	S76	C76	1520	D520A	D520A1	D520B	D520C	520%TotD	C520	
7	Oct-21	31	10	1922	W	4	31	55	951	1,252	0	10	57	1,454	0	145	0	327	185	98%	797	
8	Nov-21	30	11	1922	W	6	34	24	956	464	0	4	57	460	0	103	0	142	16	100%	200	
9	Dec-21	31	12	1922	W	25	49	13	982	360	3	2	57	403	0	90	0	117	0	100%	200	
10	Jan-22	31	1	1922	W	35	45	12	1,003	373	3	2	57	420	0	76	0	115	0	100%	232	
11	Feb-22	28	2	1922	W	107	110	23	1,110	23	22	4	57	412	2	76	0	129	0	100%	236	
12	Mar-22	31	3	1922	W	103	96	34	1,185	317	8	5	59	424	1	85	0	149	0	100%	200	
13	Apr-22 May 22	30	4	1922	W	1/0	113	53	1,146	2,506	5	0 15	62	2,528	0	118	0	612	293	99%	1,512	
14	May-22	30	6	1922	W	378	374	138	1,552	2,009	2	21	67	2,030	0	255	0	978	300	96%	363	
16	Jul-22	31	7	1922	w	87	92	165	1,512	2,209	0	24	67	2,185	0	501	0	989	429	96%	265	
17	Aug-22	31	8	1922	W	17	44	149	1,421	2,060	0	22	67	2,038	0	385	0	952	419	97%	283	
18	Sep-22	30	9	1922	W	4	31	112	1,364	1,358	0	17	63	1,400	0	227	0	597	326	97%	250	
19	Oct-22	31	10	1923	AN	6	28	64	1,313	1,226	0	9	57	1,322	0	138	0	253	158	99%	774	
20	Nov-22	30	11	1923	AN	16	39	27	1,326	413	1	4	57	426	0	103	0	124	0	100%	200	
21	Dec-22	31	12	1923	AN	79	78	16	1,391	185	13	2	57	391	1	90	0	117	0	100%	200	
22	Jan-23	31	1	1923	AN	78	78	17	1,450	304	7	2	57	409	0	76	0	115	0	100%	226	
23	Feb-23	28	2	1923	AN	55	65	29	1,494	337	5	4	57	427	0	76	0	129	0	100%	229	
24	Mar-23	31	3	1923	AN	77	69	45	1,450	1,791	2	6	59	1,797	0	111	0	454	206	100%	1,029	
25	Apr-23	30	4	1923	AN	207	143	66 104	1,450	2,352	4	9	62	2,343	0	97	0	550	189	98%	1,512	
20	May-23	30	5	1923		350	205	101	1,534	3,157	2	14	67	3,095	0	284	0	945	424	90%	1,444	
28	Jul-23	31	7	1923	AN	73	88	163	1 494	2,219	0	24	67	2,210	0	515	0	989	429	96%	265	
29	Aug-23	31	8	1923	AN	12	40	148	1,397	2,070	0	22	67	2,048	0	394	0	952	419	97%	283	
30	Sep-23	30	9	1923	AN	10	38	110	1,359	1,182	0	17	64	1,224	0	195	0	499	281	97%	250	-
H.	< > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >	SJR_Flo	w(Estimat	te2) / 9	SJR_Flow(	Final) / Cl	JAW-CALSI	M Sta	n-CALSI	M Tuo	-CALSIM	/ Merc-C/		SI 4							•	
Ready 🔚												;	(+)									
																					0	111



### **Modeling Flow Chart**



#### How to apply streamflow target



- Calculate Available Water from <u>All</u> Inflows (incl. Return and Local Inflows)
- Calculate Diversions Available
- Recalculate release to meet target

## Water Supply Effects: Allocation

- Peripheral inputs of ID water balance (component fractions)
- Note CUAW Demand also used for GW effects, cost analysis



## **Annual Allocation**

• Similar to New Melones Index:

= [End-of-Feb. Storage] + [Anticipated Inflow Mar-Sept.]

- Add reservoir carryover storage parameters

   (target "guideline" and % draw)
- Subtract streamflow requirements Mar-Sept
- If enough water, districts get demands met
- If not enough, districts are cut



### **Calculation of Annual Allocation**

- <u>Determine Streamflow Requirement</u>
   (Feb-Jun %UF, BiOp, Vernalis, etc.)
- 2. <u>Determine "Available Water"</u> from: -net inflows, -storage, -storage End-of-Sept. constraints
- 3. <u>Determine Growing Season Demand</u> (Total Surface Demand <u>March-Sept.</u>)
- 4. <u>Growing Season Diversion =</u>

Minimum(Available, Demand, Max)



## **Tuolumne Supply and Demand**





#### **Baseline Results:**



#### 82-yr Diversion Calibration





#### 82-yr Diversion Calibration





#### **Percent Exceedance of Diversion Delivery**



#### **Exceedence Plots**



#### **Exceedence Plots**





# **Alternatives Results**



#### **Alternatives Results**



## WSE Impacts Summary: Reductions in Available Diversions





# **Temperature Results**







#### **Tuolumne Avg. 7DADM April**





#### **Groundwater analysis**

- Shortage is applied primarily to field demand (CUAW + Deep Percolation) for each district.
- To alleviate some of this affect additional groundwater pumping can be pumped up to a district maximum.
- Additional groundwater pumping is applied directly at the farm gate



#### Surface Water Agricultural Demand and Water Supply Stanislaus Baseline



Additional GW

Applied SW

Ag Water Demand



Min GW Pumping

## **Things to Remember**

- SED describes CEQA Impacts Analysis
  - Basin Plan Amendment is not self-implementing
  - Board has not picked an alternative
  - %UF may include adaptive implementation range
    - Can optimize benefits / minimize impacts
  - Requires Water Rights Proceedings/Due Process
    - Aka "Phase 3" of the Bay-Delta Plan Update

