Russian River DWRAT

Chad Whittington, Jay Lund, Quinn Hart, & Ted Grantham

April 11, 2016

California's Limited Water Supply

- 2014 SWRCB curtails junior water rights for the first time since 1977
- More curtailments likely to occur
- Need better way to allocate water shortages
 - Must satisfy dual doctrine system
 - Riparian
 - Appropriative

Drought Water Right Allocation Tool (DWRAT)

- Makes optimal water right curtailment decisions based on:
 - Water right priority
 - Local water availability
 - Water demand
- Compiled in Excel workbook
 - Linear programs solved using open source software (SolverSutdio)
- Online web interface
- Developed for:
 - Eel River
 - Russian River
 - o Sacramento River
 - o San Joaquin River

| Drought Wat | er Rights Allocation Too | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|--------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Watershed | Russian | | | | | | | |
| | Reset | | | | | | | |
| Controls | | | | | | | | |
| Curtailment Date | | | 6/12/15 | | | Features for Russian River: | | |
| Buffer (%of FNF) (Default=0%) | | | | | | Reservation Size | | |
| Flow Scaler (Default=1) | | | | 1 | | | 1000 | acre-feet/d |
| Export File Name | | Russian_20 | Russian_2015-06-12 | | | Potter Valley Inflow | | |
| Export File Pa | ath Browse | | | | | | 5 | acre-feet/d |
| 1. Run Flow Prediction | | | COMPLETE | | | Link to Web | Interface | |
| 2. Run Riparian Model | | | COMPLETE | | | Link to Web Interface Tutorial | | |
| 3. Run Appropriative Model | | | COMPLETE | | | | | |
| 4. Run Reservation Model | | | COMPLETE | | | | | |
| 5. Export Results | | | | | | | | |
| Results Sumn | nary | -1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Flow Available at Outlet | | 277.58542 | acre-ft/d | | | | | |
| Total Demand | | 355.87684 | acre-ft/d | | | | | |
| Riparian Demand | | 90.209413 | acre-ft/d | 25.3% | | | | |
| Appropriative Demand | | 265.66742 | acre-ft/d | 74.7% | | | | |
| Environmental Flow | | | acre-ft/d | | | | | |
| Total Allocation | | 244.99497 | acre-ft/d | | | | | |
| Riparian Allocation | | 28.349766 | acre-ft/d | 11.6% | | | | |
| Appropriative Allocation | | 216.64521 | acre-ft/d | 88.4% | | | | |
| Total Shortage | | 110.88187 | acre-ft/d | | | | | |
| Amound of Reservation Used | | 0.7556391 | acre-ft/d | 0.1% | | | | |
| # of Riparian Users Shorted | | 13 | | 1.7% | | | | |
| # of Appropriative Users Shorted | | 193 | | 15.9% | | | 2 | |
| Total Numbe | r of Users Shorted | 206 | | 10.4% | | | 4 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Date of First Curtailed Appropriative Right: | | | 4/23/46 | | Updated Shorted User List Page | | | |

Model Composition



HUC-12: USGS's smallest unit for watershed classification

Flow-forecasting Model

- Predicts unimpaired flows for each HUC-12
- Input data
 - Daily FNF estimates from an NWS gage in Healdsburg
- Method
 - FNF estimates from Healdsburg are disaggregated to all ungaged HUC-12s by using ratios of gaged to ungaged flow



Linear Programs

 $\bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet$

Riparian, Appropriative, Reservation

Riparian LP $Min\,z = \propto \sum w_k P_k - \sum A_i$

Constraints

- $A_i = P_k u_i, \forall i, i \in k$
- 2. $P_j \leq P_k$, $\forall k, j \in k$
- 3. $\sum_{i \in k} A_i \leq v_k e_k b_k , \forall k$
- 4. $\overline{\mathbf{0}} \leq \mathbf{P}_k \leq \mathbf{1}, \forall k$
- 5. $A_i \geq 0, \forall i$
- 6. $A_i \geq u_{i,public health and safety}, \forall i$

7.
$$w_k = \frac{n_k}{n_{k,system outlet}}$$

8. $\propto < Min\left(\frac{w_k}{u_{k,j}}\right), \forall k$

 A_i = water allocation for user i

 α = weight factor in objective function

 P_k = proportion of normal use allocated to all users in basin k

n_k = number of basins upstream of k

- Explanation
- All users in a sub-basin k receive the same proportion, Pk, of demand
- 2. Upstream proportions(Pj) cannot exceed downstream proportions(Pk)
- 3. Allocations upstream of k can not exceed available water at k's outlet
- **4.** Proportions must be between 0 and 1
- 5. Allocations must be greater than or equal to zero
- 6. Allocations must meet public health and safety requirements
- 7. Unit penalty for P increases with downstream basins
- 8. Defines the relative weight for P values in the objective function

 w_k = unit penalty for P, increases with downstream basins

- v_k = flow in basin k
- e_k = environmental flow requirement in basin k
- u_i = normal usage (demand) for user i

Appropriative LP

$$Min \, z = \sum_{i} p_i (u_i - A_i)$$

Explanation

Constraints

 $\left| \sum_{i \in k} A_i \leq v_k - e_k - b_k - \sum_{i \in k} A_{UR} \right|, \forall k$

Allocations cannot exceed available water remaining after riparian allocations

Allocations cannot exceed normal use

3. $A_i \ge 0, \forall i$

 $\mathcal{I}_{i} \leq u_{i}, \forall i$

Allocations must be greater than or equal to zero

4. $A_i \ge u_{i,public health and safety}$, $\forall i$ Allocations must meet public health and safety requirements

Where:

 A_i = water allocation for user i

 A_{UR} = water allocation of riparian users

p_i = unit shortage penalty for user i, increases with seniority of water

right

 $v_k =$ flow in basin k

e_k = environmental flow requirement in basin k

u_i = normal usage (demand) for user i

Reservation LP

- Permit 12947A; Term 23:
 - 10,000 afa available from Lake Mendocino releases
- 74 users
- Insurance for junior appropriators
- Priority given by application filing date (in DWRAT)

Web Interface

http://watershed.ice.ucdavis.edu/dwrat/

| Water Right Allocations for California | Etano Fails Directory 1 Upload |
|--|--|
| + Twin Palls | Showing Water Rights in California |
| Product 1 | A water right is a granted permission to withdraw water from a surface water source for a reasonable and beneficial use. |
| | Select a water right to see its current allocation |
| Nevada | Riparian |
| A state of the second sec | Pre-1914 Appropriative |
| San Plantered | Post-1914 Appropriative |
| Sundar Vor Freshow Las Vegas | Demand (Ac-ft/day) |
| | 0 <1 50 100 >250 |
| Sales Maria | Show 0 demand nodes |
| and the second s | Percent of Demand 0% 25% 50% 75% 100% |
| Tijoana - Mexical | Render as shortage Render as met demand |

Web Interface

http://watershed.ice.ucdavis.edu/dwrat/



Russian River Results

 \bullet \bullet \bullet

Russian River

| Type of Right | Number |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Riparian | 883 |
| Pre-1914 Appropriative | 42 |
| Post-1914 Appropriative | 1,090 |
| Total | 2,015 |

Area of Detail

Boundary





Russian River DWRAT Results

• Shortage

- Maximum shortage:
 - Appropriative 675 AF in November
 - Riparian 103 AF in August



DWRAT Optimized Shortage for 2015

Russian River DWRAT Results

- Percent of Curtailed Water Rights
 - Filing date of earliest curtailed appropriative right:
 - 04/23/1946



Percentage of Water Rights Curtailed using DWRAT for 2015

Water Reliability

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

Using July as Example

Water Reliability

- DWRAT can be used to determine the probability of curtailment for water users
- Probability of curtailment using historical records for July:





Probability of Curtailment in July Using Historical Records





Probability of Curtailment in July Using Historical Records







Probability of Curtailment in July Using Historical Records

Monthly Curtailment Rules

• • •

Implicit Stochastic Optimization

- 1. Generate multiple synthetic inflow sequences
- 2. Use DWRAT to find optimal curtailment decisions for each inflow sequence
- 3. Use the ensemble of optimal curtailment decisions to determine water reliability and construct monthly curtailment rules



- Curtailment threshold equals the FNF through Healdsburg at which DWRAT releases a right holder from curtailment
- If the expected FNF at Healdsburg is less than a user's curtailment threshold, then he or she should be curtailed



Further Work for DWRAT

- Formation of curtailment rules for other dry months
- Incorporation of return flows
- Development of San Joaquin DWRAT
- Flow error analysis
- Improvement of hydrologic model

References

- Grantham, T. (2014). California Water Rights Model Supply Estimation. Center for Watershed Sciences, University of California Davis.
- Lord, B. (2015). Water Rights Curtailments for Drought in California: Method and Eel River Application. University of California Davis, Center for Watershed Sciences.
- National Weather Service. (2016). California Nevada River Forecast Center. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
- SWRCB. (1974). In the Matter of Applications 12947, 12948, 12949, and 12950 to Appropriate Water from East Fork Russian River and Russian River in Mendocino and Sonoma Counties. State of California Water Rights Board. (Order WR 74-30).

End

• • •

Chad Whittington MS Student, UC Davis ccwhittington@ucdavis.edu

